The use of pure oxygen for aeration in aerobic wastewater treatment: a review of its potential and limitations

Skouteris, G.; Rodriguez Garcia, G.; Reinecke, S.; Hampel, U.;

1 **THE USE OF PURE OXYGEN FOR AERATION IN AEROBIC WASTEWATER**

2 **TREATMENT: A REVIEW ON ITS POTENTIAL AND LIMITATIONS**

3

4 **G. Skouteris[1,*], G. Rodriguez-Garcia[1], S.F. Reinecke[1], U. Hampel[1,2]**

5

6 [1]Department of Experimental Thermal Fluid Dynamics, Institute of Fluid Dynamics,

7 Helmholtz Zentrum Dresden-Rossendorf, Bautzner Landstraße 400, 01328 Dresden,

8 Germany

9 [2]Chair of Imaging Techniques in Energy and Process Engineering, Technische Universität

10 Dresden, 01062 Dresden, Germany

11

12 **Abstract**

13

14 In aerobic wastewater treatment, aeration is the most critical element of the treatment

15 system. It supplies microorganisms with the required dissolved oxygen, maintains solids in

16 suspension and, in membrane bioreactors, it controls fouling. However, conventional

17 activated sludge is limited to the treatment of low strength wastewaters, as higher loadings

18 require both higher biomass and higher dissolved oxygen concentrations. By replacing air

19 with pure oxygen, oxygen transfer rates increase at lower flowrates. In this work, the

20 potential and limitations of pure oxygen aeration are reviewed. The effect of the system's

21 operational parameters and the mixed liquor characteristics on oxygen transfer, and vice

22 versa, is determined. Pure oxygen treats higher loadings without compromising effluent

23 quality. Fine bubbles are more efficient in oxygen transfer due to their increased contact

Corresponding Author: G. Skouteris, email: g.skouteris@hzdr.de

area. However, pure oxygen use is not always essential, so we recommend that it be

restricted to applications where air use is not adequate.

Key words: aerobic treatment, activated sludge, membrane bioreactor, pure oxygen, fine

bubbles

---

1. INTRODUCTION

Secondary wastewater treatment (WWT) is an effective and cheap method for removing

organic pollutants from wastewaters. Conventional activated sludge (CAS), an aerobic

suspended growth treatment process, is one of the most widely used secondary treatment

technologies. CAS requires oxygen for the microbial consortia to assist them in degrading

the organic matter in wastewater ensuring their maintenance and growth. This makes

aeration systems an integral part of the CAS plants, (Zhang et al., 2019; Calderón et al.,

2013). Aeration supplies the dissolved oxygen (DO) that is required by the biomass in both

CAS and membrane bioreactor (MBR) systems with MBRs being activated sludge systems

where membrane filtration has replaced gravitational sedimentation. It also maintains solids

in suspension and, in membrane bioreactors, it additionally mitigates membrane fouling

and improves membrane cleaning, (Calderón et al., 2012). However, it is an energy

intensive process accounting for the largest fraction (40%-75%) of energy costs of a WWT

plant. In addition, existing technologies are old, often operating at a standard oxygen

transfer efficiency (SOTE) of <15% or at even lower values, after real DO concentrations

are considered, (Syron et al., 2015).

In principle, treatment efficiency in CAS is controlled by the DO amount in the aeration tank. Low DO concentrations lead to lower effluent quality due to low growth rates of bacteria. Population of filamentous microorganisms in the sludge also increases, which causes sludge bulking or biological foam (froth) formation, (Xu et al., 2016; Jolis et al., 2006; Lee et al., 2003). When low strength wastewaters are treated, traditional oxygen supply with air does satisfy the need for oxygen. However, CAS fails to treat high strength wastewaters as any increase in either the oxygen transfer efficiency (OTE) or in the biomass concentration within the aeration tank, is limited, (Lee and Kim, 2003).

As such, when high strength wastewaters are to be treated, satisfactory oxygen demand requires an intensified oxygen supply technology, e.g. pressurized aeration, deep shaft technology, pure oxygen (PO) use. In doing so, high mixed liquor suspended solids (MLSS) concentrations are combined with high OTEs. Pressurization accelerates the oxygen transfer rates (OTRs), or the actual mass of oxygen transferred per unit time, by increasing the partial pressure of oxygen. This is a low cost and a small footprint technique that ensures improved oxygen transfer. Deep shaft aeration employs hydrostatic pressure in a deep well to achieve better OTEs and is a technique that does not need much energy or space. Mass transfer depends on the depth of the well and the technique is widely used in plants treating high strength wastewaters. Finally, regarding PO aeration, PO (>90%) replaces air in aeration and maintains good aerobic conditions even when high organic loadings are treated, (Zhang et al., 2019; Xu et al., 2016; Stenstrom and Rosso, 2010; Esparza-Soto et al., 2006a; Lee and Kim, 2003). In this work, we concentrate on PO aeration and analyze its effect on WWT.

PO was first proposed in 1940 as a replacement of air in CAS but it was not put into commercial use until the '70s in the USA. The first PO activated sludge (POAS) plants for

71 municipal effluent treatment were introduced in 1968, (Zhang et al., 2019; Calderón et al.,

72 2013, 2012). To date, various kinds of wastewater have been treated by treatment systems

73 incorporating PO aeration, (Zhang et al., 2019), including industrial wastewaters that

74 contain toxic and refractory contaminants, (Zhuang et al., 2016b), and landfill leachates,

75 (Canziani et al., 2006). In addition, PO has already been used in MBRs, (Rodríguez et al.,

76 2010), biofilms (BFs) and moving bed biofilm reactors (MBBRs), (Salvetti et al., 2006), or

77 in aerobic granulation applications, (Pan et al., 2017). As of 2014, in the USA, as much as

78 15% of all municipal WWT was realized with the use of PO in aerobic WWT plants,

79 (Larrea et al., 2014). Table 1 shows research studies based on PO aeration and Table 2

80 shows large scale POAS applications.

81

82   **TABLE 1:** Research studies based on PO aeration

83

84   **TABLE 2:** Industrial WWT POAS applications

85

86 Researchers have been comparing the performances of the two aeration types since 1976,

87 (Esparza-Soto et al., 2006a). PO increases the driving force for oxygen transfer and the

88 degree of oxygen saturation possible — its partial pressure is 4.7 times of that of its

89 atmospheric counterpart. It improves the OTR and maintains high DO concentrations at

90 lower flowrates even when high strength or toxic wastewaters have to be treated. Compared

91 with the use of air, PO provides higher gas phase oxygen concentrations, improves

92 biokinetics and allows for faster treatment rates at higher MLSS concentrations and shorter

93 hydraulic residence times (HRTs). Designs of PO based systems are simple and compact

94 and allow for easy gas storage and handling. They handle foul condensates without in-plant

4

95 stripping, so they reduce odour and volatile organic compound (VOC) emissions, decrease

96 sludge production, as more complete oxidization to CO_2 is achieved, and minimize sludge

97 bulking and biomass foaming problems. PO ensures treatment system stability at reduced

98 power consumption rates and lower sludge disposal costs, (Neerackal et al., 2016; Zhuang

99 et al., 2016b; Larrea et al., 2014; Calderón et al., 2013; Rodríguez et al., 2012b; Paice et al.,

100 2003; Brindle et al., 1998; Shelef and Green, 1980). However, both oxygen generation

101 equipment and the purchase of oxygen tanks have high costs, so oxygen should be utilized

102 at minimum wastage, (Calderon et al., 2012; Brindle et al., 1998).

103 We herein give an overview on the potential effect of the replacement of air with PO in

104 aerobic treatment methods. We summarize the consequences of the applied operational

105 parameters and the influent and biomass characteristics on oxygen transfer, and vice versa,

106 i.e. the consequences of PO on the treatment process over time. This work deals with PO

107 introduced into tanks in bubbly form, which then dissolves into the liquid. This review has

108 covered much of the existing literature and has dealt with a topic that has not been

109 thoroughly reviewed to date. In addition, it gives a detailed assessment of the use of PO in

110 both CAS systems and MBRs, comparing the two technologies, where possible.

111

112 **2. PURE OXYGEN AERATION IN AEROBIC WASTEWATER TREATMENT**

113

114 **2.1. Analysis of Pure Oxygen Aeration**

115

116 Oxygen transfer rates depend both on the driving force, namely the difference of the DO

117 saturation concentration in water minus the DO concentration in water, and on the liquid

118 side mass transfer coefficient (k_La), (Stenstrom and Rosso, 2010; Gostick et al., 1992).

119   Oxygen transfer in wastewater is usually affected by the biomass characteristics and the

120   design of the aeration system. Aeration and the three parameters that characterize biomass,

121   i.e. particle concentration, particle size and viscosity, are interrelated. Aeration intensity

122   affects particle size and viscosity. Any increase in viscosity has a negative effect on oxygen

123   transfer with the solids concentration modifying it. Oxygen transfer is also affected by the

124   particle size and the particle concentration, whose effects are interrelated. Finally, the

125   design of the aeration system additionally affects oxygen transfer with the α-factor being

126   the main parameter that determines the system's aeration capacity. Both β-factor, which

127   accounts for the effect of salts and particulates in wastewater on oxygen transfer, and the

128   temperature-factor have a lesser impact on mass transfer, so they usually need not to be

129   considered. On the other hand, the α-factor indicates the effect of wastewater on oxygen

130   transfer and it varies with wastewater quality, MLSS concentration and the intensity of

131   mixing or the applied turbulence, (Rodríguez et al., 2014, 2012b, 2011, 2010; Germain et

132   al., 2005).

133   PO aeration leads to higher OTEs at lower flowrates under higher organic loadings. As the

134   partial pressure of oxygen in the gas phase increases, the driving force for mass transfer

135   also increases. As such, PO provides higher gas phase oxygen concentrations than air,

136   allowing faster treatment under higher MLSS concentrations and shorter HRTs, (Zhuang et

137   al., 2016a; Rodríguez et al., 2013). However, in covered POAS systems in particular, the

138   CO_2 produced may somehow affect oxygen transfer as each time an oxygen bubble is

139   inserted into the mixed liquor, CO_2 enters it reducing the partial pressure of oxygen and the

140   saturation concentration of DO, (Gostick et al., 1992).

141   In practice, the amount of oxygen that is transferred is highly related to the applied flowrate

142   — the higher the flowrate, the better the oxygen transfer. For the same flowrate of air and

143 PO, the amount of oxygen transferred is higher for the PO due to its higher driving force.

144 PO has a DO saturation concentration that is up to five times higher than that of air at the

145 same flowrate, (Zhuang et al., 2016a; Rodriguez et al., 2013). Lee and Kim (2003), who

146 monitored the DO concentration changes for PO flowrates of 0.0125 L min^{-1}, 0.025 L min^{-1}

147 ^{1}, 0.05 L min^{-1}, 0.1 L min^{-1} and 0.2 L min^{-1}, in a tank of a working volume of 21 L, found

148 that when the flowrate changed from 0.0125 L min^{-1} to 0.025 L min^{-1}, a significant sudden

149 increase in the OTR occurred. For the next flowrates, the DO concentrations continued

150 increasing but more gradually. Similarly, Zhuang et al. (2016a) noticed that, when a PO

151 flowrate of 0.5 L h^{-1} increased to 1.5 L h^{-1}, the DO concentration at a specific time of 300 s

152 was 1.8 times higher. In addition, by comparing PO flowrates with air ones, they also found

153 that at a PO flowrate of 2.5 L h^{-1} the DO concentration was five times higher than that for a

154 similar air flowrate. However, there was a limit regarding the DO amount that could be

155 sustained, so any further increase in the PO flowrate could not increase the OTE value

156 further.

157 With regard to the α-factors, Rodríguez et al. (2014), who determined the α-factors in an

158 MBR fed either with PO or air found that, at a constant HRT, the α-factor increased when

159 MLSS concentrations decreased but, at the same MLSS concentration, the PO related α-

160 factor values were higher. Similarly, Rodriguez et al. (2011) showed that, at a constant

161 HRT (and SRT), an increase in the MLSS concentration from 3420 mg L^{-1} to 12600 mg L^{-1}

162 in an MBR fed with PO decreased the α-factor from 0.426 to 0.022. However, despite the

163 decrease in the α-factor at the high MLSS concentration, the removal efficiency of organic

164 matter remained high. This suggests that PO did maintain the aerobic conditions within the

165 MBR even at high MLSS concentrations. In practice, the effect of MLSS concentrations

166 must be determined in combination with the applied HRT, as HRTs regulate the time

167 period during which oxygen is in contact with the liquid. Rodríguez et al. (2012b) showed

168 that the α-factor was highly affected by both MLSS concentrations and the HRTs, but the

169 MLSS concentration was better correlated with the α-factor than the HRT. However, any

170 data based on a specific case study is unable to be directly compared with any other data, as

171 the α-factor strongly depends on the bubble size, the system's geometry and the EPS/SMP

172 concentrations, although the EPS/SMP concentrations have a lesser effect. In addition, α-

173 factors, which can sustain aerobic conditions at bench scale, must be treated with caution

174 when full scale applications are to be considered, (Rodríguez et al., 2012b).

175 In addition, it must be mentioned that Zhuang et al. (2016b) also found that the presence of

176 long chain and aromatic hydrocarbons, as well as of ester compounds, in their coal

177 gasification wastewater, hindered oxygen transfer from the bubbles to the liquid in an MBR

178 fed with air. This consequently led to low DO values in this MBR with the ability of

179 microorganisms to biodegrade having been affected.

180 As a final comment, Rodríguez et al. (2013) also showed that less energy was consumed

181 when PO is employed — the values for oxygen transfer as a function of energy supplied

182 were 0.62 kg_{O2} h^{-1} kW^{-1} for PO and 3.31 kg_{O2} h^{-1} kW^{-1} for air. Previously, Oackley (1997)

183 had similarly mentioned that the power related costs were 25% lower for PO. However,

184 oxygen production entails an additional cost, which is not applicable when air is used.

185

186 **2.2. The Effect of Bubble Size**

187

188 The efficiency of the oxygenation process depends on the oxygen transfer from the bubbly

189 to the dissolved phase with the total DO in a vessel being closely related to the bubble

190 motion and the hydrodynamic pattern of the fluid flow, (Torti et al., 2013). Oxygen transfer

191 also depends on the interfacial area across which it occurs. Factors, such as the bubble size

192 and the bubble residence time, also have an effect on oxygen transfer, (Gostick et al.,

193 1992). Fine bubbles or microbubbles are preferable due to their small size, large interfacial

194 area, long stagnation time and lower bubble rising speed as well as they lead to better k_La

195 values than usual air or PO bubbles. These bubbles also deal with higher loadings and, at

196 the same time, they form less foam, (Zhuang et al., 2016a,b). Zhuang et al. (2016b)

197 employed MBR technology under either air or PO conditions using both usual and fine

198 bubbles and they found that air demonstrated a worse performance. Usual bubbles, made

199 either of air or of PO, had also a worse performance on oxygen transfer, although usual PO

200 bubbles still performed better. However, there was also a dramatic increase in the k_La,

201 when they switched from usual PO bubbles to fine PO bubbles. When fine bubbles were

202 used, the gas liquid interfacial area significantly increased due to the decrease in bubble

203 size, (Zhuang et al., 2016b). Coarse bubbles though, may be more efficient in stripping CO_2

204 out of a POAS system reducing the need for use of sodium hydroxide solutions, (Gostick et

205 al., 1992).

206

207 **3. EFFECT OF PURE OXYGEN ON BIOMASS**

208

209 **3.1. EPS and SMP Production**

210

211 The type of aeration in aerobic WWT affects the extent of production of extracellular

212 polymeric substances (EPS). In batch experiments, EPS concentrations are lower when PO

213 is used, (Zhang et al., 2019). Zhang et al. (2019) found that at low food to microorganism

214 (F/M) ratios, e.g. 0.05 kg_{TOC} kg^{-1}_{MLSS} (100 mg L^{-1} TOC and 2000 mg L^{-1} MLSS), EPS

215   production remained the same regardless of the type of aeration. In both cases, EPS initially

216   increased reaching a peak value and subsequently decreased. This trend is expected as EPS

217   concentration during the substrate utilization phase increases, whereas during endogenous

218   respiration, it starts decreasing as EPS now function as substrate. At the higher F/M ratio of

219   0.25 kg_{TOC} kg^{-1}_{MLSS} (500 mg L^{-1} TOC, 2000 mg L^{-1} MLSS), they observed a similar

220   increase/decrease trend, but the EPS amount in the bioreactor fed with air was still high at

221   the end of the batch reaction due to the high amount of total organic carbon (TOC) that was

222   still present in wastewater.

223   In general, Zhang et al. (2019), claimed that PO use had an enhanced effect on EPS

224   reduction regardless of the initial TOC concentration as well as it better promoted EPS

225   consumption, when that was required. By maintaining the substrate concentration constant

226   at 500 mg_{TOC} L^{-1} and by varying MLSS concentrations from 2000 mg L^{-1} to 5000 mg L^{-1} to

227   8000 mg L^{-1}, EPS concentrations initially increased once again and then decreased, except

228   for the highest F/M ratio as applied within the bioreactor fed with air, where a constant

229   increase occurred. EPS rapidly decrease when the growth of microorganisms moves from

230   the exponential increase to the stationary phase where food starts depleting, a case that was

231   never achieved in the air related bioreactor at the highest F/M ratio. As up to 50% of the

232   produced EPS are utilized by bacteria, PO aeration not only accelerated substrate

233   decomposition and increased organic pollutant removal rates, but also promoted

234   decomposition of the produced EPS when that was required, (Zhang et al., 2019).

235   In addition, EPS production is also affected by the applied air or PO flowrates — Zhuang et

236   al. (2016b) found that the higher air flowrates that need to be applied and consequently the

237   higher shear forces in an MBR fed with air produced more EPS, as flocs that are exposed to

238   high shear forces easily break. On the other hand, the lower PO flowrates, in combination

239 with the enhanced enzyme activity due to the higher DO concentrations, resulted in less

240 EPS. This occurred either because of a lower EPS production or because of an improved

241 EPS biodegradation. Pressurization, which, as already mentioned above is another way of

242 increasing DO concentration in tanks, also lowered EPS production in tanks fed with PO,

243 (Zhang et al., 2019), confirming the important role of DO on EPS formation.

244 With respect to aerobic granulation, on the other hand, PO aeration may potentially produce

245 more EPS than air. In this case, EPS are an important ingredient for stable granules as they

246 ensure their integrity, (Pan et al., 2017). Pan et al. (2017) showed that at 4.57 kg_{COD} m^{-3} d^{-1},

247 the maximum chemical oxygen demand (COD) loading rate they applied, their PO granular

248 bioreactor had the highest amount of EPS that was equal to 193 mg g^{-1}. These EPS were

249 more than those in their PO activated sludge bioreactor, which were more than those in the

250 air granular bioreactor, which were more than those in the activated sludge bioreactor.

251 Under high organic (COD) loadings, biomass grows rapidly. Positive correlations between

252 biomass and EPS and between influent COD and EPS and vice versa were found, as they

253 both increase EPS. PO formed aerobic granules with some great ability of treating heavily

254 polluted wastewaters. This was due to the retention of biomass on an EPS matrix that

255 helped granules avoid disintegration. These granules were difficult to collapse allowing PO

256 passing through them, so they tolerated any shocks due to the high organic loadings.

257 In addition, EPS production in aerobic systems is also affected by the salinity, so the

258 combined effect of the aeration type and salinity needs to be considered. As salinity

259 increases, the specific oxygen uptake rate (SOUR) also increases, with microorganisms

260 needing more energy to endure the high saline conditions. In saline wastewaters, the large

261 mass transfer resistance makes it difficult for oxygen to diffuse from the gas interface to the

262 cell membrane as well as salinity itself also decreases oxygen solubility. CAS systems

263 cannot cope with the increased DO concentrations required, in particular when high

264 loadings are to be treated. PO use instead of air is then a promising alternative, (Hu et al.,

265 2019). Hu et al. (2019) found that, in sequencing batch reactors (SBRs), PO improved the

266 TOC removal efficiency at salinities less than 3%. Increasing salinity to values over 3.5%,

267 the TOC removal efficiency decreased regardless of the type of aeration, however, PO still

268 performed better than air. As salinity kept increasing, even high DO concentrations had a

269 negligible effect on metabolism, because of the strong shock on the bacteria. EPS under

270 either type of aeration increased as salinity increased, with PO mostly producing more EPS

271 than air at the same salinity. In detail, at low salinities up to 1%, for both aeration types,

272 EPS amounts were similar. For salinities above 2%, EPS at PO aeration conditions

273 increased. PO mitigated the effect of high viscosity on oxygen transfer and promoted EPS

274 production as a measure to protect the microorganisms from salt suppression. At a salinity

275 of 3%, polysaccharides increased over time in both aeration types, but not the proteins.

276 Initial concentrations of polysaccharides in both systems were lower than those of proteins,

277 but as salinity increased, their concentration exceeded the concentration of the proteins,

278 which remained stable. The production of polysaccharides was then the bacterial reaction

279 against the high osmotic pressure due to salt. As such, their concentration was higher when

280 PO was used due to the higher partial pressure, (Hu et al., 2019).

281 In addition, Hu et al. (2019) found that the soluble microbial products (SMP) also increased

282 under both aeration types, as salinity increased. At the highest salinity of 5%, SMP were

283 maximum in both bioreactors — 63.28 mg L^{-1} (PO) and 62.5 mg L^{-1} (air). At low salinities

284 of 0.5% or 1%, SMP in the bioreactor fed with PO were more due to the sufficient

285 degradation rate. At salinities of 2%, 3% or 4%, the opposite happened. The increased EPS

286 production to help bacteria tolerate the saline conditions reduced the production rate of

12

biomass associated SMP in the bioreactor fed with PO. At a salinity of 5%, the effect of

salinity was dominant, as high osmotic pressures started rupturing the cells leading to

higher biomass associated SMP production rates due to the EPS hydrolysis at a rate that

was proportional to the EPS production.

Finally, by running batch experiments, Zhang et al. (2019) showed that at a low F/M ratio

of 100 mg_{TOC} L^{-1}, SMP concentration remained stable regardless of the type of aeration. On

the other hand, at a higher F/M ratio of 500 mg_{TOC} L^{-1}, SMP concentrations were always

higher when PO was used. Improved oxygen transfer improved the enzyme and biomass

activity, so the production of utilization associated SMP at high F/M ratios increased.

3.2. Enzyme Activity and Microbial Diversity

The enzyme activity shows the ability of bacteria to adapt themselves to environmental

changes. During the formation of activated sludge, microorganisms use their enzymes, e.g.

catalase, dehydrogenase, phosphatase, protease, esterase, glucosidase, to hydrolyze and

biodegrade organic matter, mostly consisting of proteins and carbohydrates. Based on their

variations, the physiology of the bacterial community is assessed, (Calderón et al., 2012).

Enhanced enzyme activity leads to better multiplication conditions for the living

microorganisms and subsequently improves the pollutant removal efficiency. However, at

low DO conditions and high organic loading rates (OLRs), the enzyme activity deteriorates.

PO use may then accelerate it, so it will consequently improve the microbial biomass

activity as well — the increased substrate utilization rates when PO is used are interrelated

with higher enzyme activity. PO aeration demonstrated higher concentrations for many of

the enzymes. Microorganisms can adapt to PO aerated environments, so that the secretion

13

311 of enzymes stabilizes at high values, (Pan et al., 2017; Zhuang et al., 2016a; Doviral-García

312 et al., 2014). In addition, Zhuang et al. (2016b), by measuring SOURs, showed that when

313 PO was used, SOUR was 4.15 mg_{O2} g_{MLSS}^{-1} h^{-1}, or 28% higher than the SOUR that was

314 measured when air was used.

315 However, the improved biomass activity in POAS may also have an adverse effect, which

316 needs considering, as it results in rapid DO depletion in the secondary clarifiers, which

317 usually lack any aeration facility — a condition which can be additionally favored under

318 higher ambient temperatures, as these temperatures further improve bacterial metabolism.

319 In more detail, to avoid septic sludge and poor separation of solids from liquid as well as

320 foul odours, DO levels must be always maintained at the required level. As the mixed

321 liquor approaches the last stage in the aeration tank, DO concentrations drop and CO_2

322 concentrations increase. Increased CO_2 levels decrease the pH promoting the growth of

323 filaments (that also thrive at low substrate concentrations) and fungi, which consequently

324 hinder sludge settling and compaction of sludge. Sludge bulking will finally increase the

325 concentrations of total suspended solids (TSS) in the effluent and cause losses of active

326 biomass. In case oxygen becomes the limiting substrate, glucose consumption rates also

327 increase causing sludge deflocculation. Therefore, sludge exhibits poor settling properties

328 in the secondary clarifiers resulting in effluents of deteriorated quality. Any use of the

329 design of and of the operational guidelines for CAS systems to POAS systems is not

330 advisable as their microbial populations and the metabolic rates may not be similar. The

331 challenge above must then be considered when POAS systems are to be designed, (Kundral

332 et al., 2013; Lee et al., 2003).

333 Gostick et al. (1992) had also observed that at low F/M ratios, the POAS plant under their

334 study, which was treating a vegetable processing wastewater, confronted bulking issues, as

335 filaments growth was higher than that of floc forming bacteria. Low F/M ratios may indeed

336 lead to the production of filaments and poorly flocculated pin flocs due to aged sludge,

337 (Paice et al., 2003; Marshall and Sousley, 1997). On the other hand, too high F/M ratios

338 may lead to dispersed growth, (Paice et al., 2003).

339 Finally, Calderón et al. (2013), by comparing the effect of the type of aeration on the

340 performance of hydrolytic enzymes, did not detect any difference. Any increase in the

341 pollutant removal efficiency during PO aeration was found to be unrelated to any

342 improvement of the depolymerization of the particulate matter, (Calderón et al., 2013). This

343 field does require further research, as some enzymes are highly affected by the redox of a

344 system, whereas others, like protease and esterase, are not, despite being very important for

345 the hydrolysis of macromolecules and contaminants, (Doviral-García et al., 2014).

346 The aeration type may also affect the microbial diversity, as the former can promote

347 different species composition of activated sludge communities, (Zhuang et al., 2016a,b).

348 Zhuang et al. (2016b) claimed that the bacterial communities are not the same in an MBR

349 fed with air and an MBR fed with PO. First, based on the ten most abundant genera present

350 in MBRs, their total relevant abundance in the MBR fed with PO was found to be higher

351 than that in the MBR fed with air — 35.35% and 28.03% respectively. The MBR fed with

352 PO concentrated more genera in a small fraction, indicating their adaption to higher DO

353 concentrations. Then, although both MBRs did share a large proportion of core bacterial

354 population, some differences were also observed, i.e. concentrations of Ohtaekwangia,

355 which are detected during coal mine wastewater treatment, Thauera, which degrade phenol

356 and methyl-phenols and Comamonas, an aromatic compounds degrader, increased in the

357 MBR fed with PO, explaining also the better effluent quality. Finally, increased amounts of

358 Phycisphaera in the MBR fed with PO additionally demonstrated its potential for nitrogen

15

359 removal, (Zhuang et al., 2016b). However, when Calderón et al. (2012, 2013) compared

360 MBRs employing PO with MBRs employing air, they concluded that the aeration type had

361 only a negligible effect on the diversity and functionality of the bacterial community, which

362 was particularly true when this effect had to be compared with the effects of temperature or

363 VSS concentration. However, they also supported the fact that that type of aeration affected

364 the bacterial community structure and differences in the relative abundance of dominant

365 populations were once again recorded, (Calderón et al., 2012, 2013).

366

367 **3.3. Foam and Froth Formation**

368

369 Excessive foam formation can affect the final water quality, as bacteria trapped in foam die,

370 so the aerobic treatment performance and the system's operational stability deteriorate. In

371 the case of air, the large amounts of air that have to be used for sufficient DO

372 concentrations cause foam formation, which hinders digestion and promotes biomass

373 washout. To avoid or control this, as low flowrates as possible have to be applied, but these

374 flowrates may deprive bacteria of the required DO. The use of PO may once again be help,

375 (Zhuang et al., 2016a,b; Zupančič and M. Roš, 2008; Lee and Kim, 2003). Zhuang et al.

376 (2016a,b), concluded that the improved removal efficiency in MBRs fed with PO was

377 attributed to the prevention of foam expansion. Zhuang et al. (2016a) achieved DO

378 concentrations of 10 mg L^{-1}, with a foam to liquid (F/L) ratio of 6%-10% for their MBR

379 that was fed with PO and of 30%-45% for the MBR that was fed with air. Since air is only

380 21% O_2 in volume, the air flowrate needed to be up to five times higher leading to

381 excessive foam formation, (Zhuang et al., 2016a,b).

382   CAS applications also suffer from froth caused by Nocardioform organisms. These aerobic

383   gram positive hydrophobic filaments preferentially concentrate at the air liquid surface and

384   produce thick viscous froth in both the aeration basins and the secondary clarifiers. Froth

385   causes a series of problems, related to either the liquid itself or the solids handling

386   including deterioration of effluent quality. Both CAS installations, particularly those

387   operated at long SRTs, and POAS installations are equally affected by froth. POAS plants

388   are affected by froth due to them containing significant surface trapping of activated sludge.

389   One way of avoiding froth in POAS proposed Jolis et al. (2006) was the application of a

390   low SRT and selective wasting. They found that an SRT of 0.3 days resulted in complete

391   removal of filamentous microorganisms in two days, which subsequently allowed the

392   POAS plant to operate successfully at an SRT up to 3 days without confronting any return

393   of the filaments. In a further analysis, Jolis et al. (2007) additionally highlighted the

394   importance of using anaerobic selectors in POAS to promote growth of phosphorous

395   accumulating organisms (PAOs), which outcompete filaments. Under operating conditions

396   favoring enhanced biological phosphorous removal (EBPR), that was at an SRT<2 d and an

397   HRT>55 min, a decrease in filamentous organisms occurred resulting in effective froth

398   control as well, (Jolis et al., 2007).

399   To this point, it may also be worth mentioning that the use of PO, on the other hand, may

400   also be able to stimulate the growth of PAOs in EBPR systems, which alternate anaerobic

401   and aerobic environments. Wei et al. (2014), who operated laboratory scale pressurized

402   oxygen aeration SBRs, found that PO increased the oxygen-reduction potential (ORP) from

403   the highly negative value required during the anaerobic treatment to the positive value

404   required for the production of the oxidative environment for phosphorous uptake but no

405   more details were given.

3.4. Pure Oxygen Aeration and Temperature

Special mention should be made on the effect of the type of aeration in combination with temperature. Temperature is an operating parameter that heavily affects bacterial metabolism, so its effect on biomass is more dominant than the type of aeration — any temperature changes may lead to poor sludge settling, high turbidity of the final effluent, etc., (Rodríguez et al., 2014; Bernat et al., 2017). However, Rodríguez et al., (2011), observed that in an MBR fed with PO operating at an SRT of 39.91 days, the 0.4 g_{SS} g^{-1}_{COD} of sludge that was produced was similar to those reported in other studies, where air had had been used, however, in their study that was achieved at a lower temperature. As temperature affects sludge production with the latter decreasing as the former increases, their improved value can be attributed to the PO, which maintained sludge production at low levels.

A case of interest though is the aerobic thermophilic process, which is carried out at temperatures higher than 45°C. Comparer to mesophilic processes, it leads to higher biodegradation rates, inactivation of pathogens and lower excess sludge production, but to effluent of poorer quality. Effluent's higher COD and turbidity are due to the large amount of dispersed free bacteria and colloids, which hinder thermophilic sludge to settle in secondary clarifiers, (Collivignarelli et al., 2015). Indeed, Cohen (1977) had already found that high biomass reduction in an uncovered POAS system had been achieved due to the heterotrophic mesophilic bacteria. Zupančič and Roš (2008) studied the degree of degradability of excess activated sludge at different temperatures including thermophilic values by operating either aerobic or combined anaerobic/aerobic digestion. To satisfy the

429   aerobic step, either PO or air had to be used. However, PO aeration and high thermophilic

430   temperatures were found not to be compatible.

431   Aerobic sludge digestion, or the extension of CAS process under endogenous respiration

432   conditions, requires a lot of oxygen or the process is disturbed. In the thermophilic range,

433   permanent lack of DO is monitored in excess sludge, as the potential of oxygen for

434   absorption is low due to poorer solubility. In addition, the oxygen demand is higher due to

435   much higher rates of sludge digestion. Even though the mixing of sludge water, that is to

436   say any water remaining after sludge digestion, with the main feed, does not increase the

437   COD in the feed, it does contribute to as much as 50% of the total ammonium, (Zupančič

438   and Roš, 2008). Sludge treated with PO by Zupančič and Roš (2008) degraded between

439   22°C and 50°C, whereas sludge treated with air degraded between 32°C and 65°C. When PO

440   was employed, no sludge digestion took place above 50°C — in such temperatures, such

441   high DO concentrations do not occur in natural environments, so bacteria were unlikely to

442   tolerate them. On the other hand, in the mesophilic range, the PO had a better performance.

443   Zupančič and Roš (2008) showed that both types of aeration had both advantages and

444   disadvantages, with temperature prevailing against the aeration type. High temperatures

445   promoted better digestion with air, something that was impossible for the PO, which at

446   lower temperatures performed better. Finally, Collivignarelli et al. (2015), by performing

447   ammonia utilization rate tests at 49°C using thermophilic biomass taken from a bioreactor

448   fed with PO, showed that low nitrification rates in the range of <0.01 mg_{N-NO3}^{-1} gr_{VSS}^{-1} h^{-1}

449   were obtained, so no biological oxidation of ammonium through nitrification occurred. This

450   also supports the need for lower temperatures during PO use.

451

452

4. EFFECT OF PURE OXYGEN ON EFFLUENT QUALITY

4.1. Organic Carbon Removal

PO aeration achieves high pollutant removal efficiencies at low oxygen flowrates. Table 3 shows the pollutant removal efficiencies of selected studies, which are high, including those of organic carbon.

   TABLE 3: Pollutant removal efficiencies as indicated in a number of selected studies

However, a proper direct comparison of the relative performance of the CAS and POAS has long since been not an easy task as it needs involvement of many factors and requires complex experimental designs, (Cohen, 1977; Dirk, 1981). Any chance for advanced performance of POAS had to be solely attributed to the higher partial pressures and its ability to transfer oxygen rapidly and not on any significant differences in the intrinsic kinetic parameters and settling characteristics, (Shelef and Green, 1980). Rempel et al. (1992), by operating a pilot CAS and a pilot POAS, treating mill effluent at different sets of F/M ratios, SRTs and HRTs, showed that under similar sets of operating conditions, biochemical oxygen demand (BOD) and COD removal efficiencies between the two systems were not very different. They also pointed that the effect of operating times on the removal efficiencies was more important than that of the type of aeration. Esparza-Soto et al. (2006a) additionally claimed that although PO increases treatment capacity of the aeration stage and produces biosolids that settle better, its benefits against air are still under discussion. PO systems, at high F/M ratios, are very successful in

477   removing five-day BOD (BOD_5) and suspended solids (SS) as well as in producing little

478   sludge. In addition, COD removal efficiencies in these systems also remain high, about

479   80% for F/M ratios up to 2.8 mg_{BOD5}/mg_{MLVSS}. However, this COD removal efficiency was

480   also the same when air was used as well. The difference was that in the case of air, the

481   range of F/M ratios applied was shorter and equal to only one third of that one reached by

482   PO. For F/M ratios up to about 1.25 mg_{BOD5}/mg_{MLVSS} or 1.5 mg_{COD}/mg_{MLVSS}, both aeration

483   types remove similar COD amounts. Nonetheless, at higher F/M ratios, a fair comparison

484   cannot be made, as the systems fed with air have never been run at such high F/M ratios

485   due to their restricted oxygen transfer capacity.

486   In addition, Esparza-Soto et al. (2006b) determined the molecular weight (MW)

487   distribution in wastewater samples from full-scale WWT plants using different aerobic

488   treatments and concluded that the plants generated effluent organic matter (E_fOM) with

489   different MW dissolved organic carbon (DOC) distributions. Systems operating with air

490   generated E_fOM with centrally clustered distribution — the intermediate MW fraction

491   contained most of the organic matter, i.e. 50%-60%, DOC: 0.5 kDa to 3 kDa. Systems

492   operating with PO, on the other hand, generated E_fOMs with skewed distributions towards

493   the high MW fraction, i.e. 40%-50%. Long SRTs reduced the DOC concentration in the

494   effluent, but the MW distribution remained unmodified. PO generated organic matter of a

495   more refractory character. That was due to the higher DO concentrations, which increased

496   the endogenous respiration rate and promoted the production of EPS and SMP, which are

497   high MW refractory biopolymers. Both aeration types were then equally efficient up to

498   certain F/M ratios, although PO systems extended operation to higher F/M loadings.

499   However, if we aim for the production of E_fOM of better quality — less DOC with lower

500 MW — the systems operating with air were more efficient within the range of F/M ratios

501 where the use of both air and PO was applicable, (Esparza-Soto et al., 2006a,b).

502 On the other hand, there are also studies which clearly state that the use of PO improves

503 effluent quality. For example, Zhang et al., 2019, found different TOC biodegradation

504 levels for PO and air, with PO showing a better performance. In addition, an increase in the

505 MLSS concentration increased the gap between the PO and air related removal efficiencies,

506 from 16.8% of TOC removal at 2000 mg L^{-1} to 76.5% at 8000 mg L^{-1}. Pan et al. (2017)

507 also observed more filamentous, actinophryids and nematodes when air was used as well as

508 the percentage of the total aerobic bacteria was higher when PO was used. However, in

509 both cases, they carried out batch tests at small scale and, as PO acts faster than air, its use

510 may be more advantageous with respect to operation restricted to short times. Finally,

511 Bernat et al. (2017) found that despite the abundance of Vorticella infusionum, whose

512 presence indicates unfavorable treatment conditions, in the mixed liquor, a stable and

513 acceptable effluent quality was achieved in their POAS system, but this is more related to

514 the fact that PO manages to better maintain aerobic conditions. As a conclusion, either

515 under air or PO conditions, there seems to be no significant difference in terms of organic

516 carbon removal. However, PO may be of help in cases where operational parameters do not

517 support the use of air.

518

519 **4.2. Removal of Phenolic Compounds and Micropollutants**

520

521 Regarding phenolic compounds, PO use is quite promising. Moerman et al. (1995), by

522 operating a POAS plant treating pretreated diluted carbonization wastewater, proved that

523 98% of thiocyanate, a substance whose overloading inhibits any phenol degradation, had

524 been removed. In addition, Li and Loh (2006) found that during the cometabolism of 4-

525 chlorophenol in the presence of phenol in an immobilized cell hollow fibre MBR enriched

526 with Pseudomonas putida, both 4-chlorophenol and phenol degradations improved when

527 PO was used. Finally, PO was satisfactorily used in continuous flow fluidized bed reactors

528 for the degradation of polychlorinated phenols that are included in wood preservation

529 chemicals, (Puhakka and Järvinen, 1992).

530 In addition, PO use can also help with the removal of refractory pollutants and

531 micropollutants. CAS cannot remove antibiotics, endocrine disrupting compounds,

532 pharmaceuticals and residual personal care products, household and industrial chemicals,

533 etc. as CAS plants have not been designed or operated for this purpose, (Batt et al., 2007).

534 Levine et al. (2006) assessed the persistence of a number of micropollutants during primary

535 treatment, biological treatment comprising POAS and nitrification/denitrification and

536 finally disinfection. Several substances tested had lower concentrations in the denitrified

537 effluent than in the influent or the primary treatment effluent, which means that biological

538 treatment with PO additionally helped with their removal. For instance, acetaminophen, a

539 non-antibiotic over-the-counter pharmaceutical that had been detected at the highest

540 concentration of 10 μg L^{-1} in the influent, was eliminated during biological treatment.

541 Batt et al. (2007) monitored the fate of four antibiotics, i.e. ciprofloxacin (CIP),

542 sulfamethoxazole (SMX), tetracycline (TC) and trimethoprim (TRI), in four full scale

543 WWT plants including POAS. The use of PO had a positive effect on the removal of the

544 antibiotics, mainly with respect to removal of SMX and TRI, despite the short HRT of 1 h

545 that was applied. In all plants tested, removal efficiencies were strongly related to the

546 operating times. However, by comparing the performance of the POAS plant with that one

547 of the rotating biological contactor (RBC) plant, it was found that, although similar

548 removal efficiencies for all antibiotics were reported for both plants, the HRT at POAS was

549 four time less than that at RBC.

550 Bae et al. (2015) also assessed the contribution of PO aeration of a combined biological and

551 physicochemical treatment (POAS + Fenton Process) system to the removal of refractory

552 pollutants from dyeing wastewater, which is not a readily biodegradable wastewater as well

553 as any potential improvement with respect to biological treatment of dyes needs long SRTs

554 and high MLSS concentrations. Although the biological treatment system suffered from

555 low MLSS concentrations due to inefficient settling, it managed to remove 53% of soluble

556 COD (SCOD) and 12% of color indicating that the microorganisms in the aeration tank

557 were acclimated to the dyeing wastewater. As such, Bae et al. (2015) managed to obtain a

558 cost effective pretreatment, which both helped the Fenton Process in becoming more

559 efficient and decreased the consumption of chemicals.

560 Martín-Rilo et al. (2018) employed PO aeration for the removal of a benzotriazole based

561 anticorrosive from dairy wastewater with benzotriazole being an aromatic compound used

562 as a metal corrosion inhibitor and an emerging toxic that tends to bioaccumulate. PO was

563 injected in the intermediate step (Step 2) of a treatment process — that step was preceded

564 by wastewater neutralization under CO_2 injection (Step 1) and followed by

565 coagulation/flocculation in a dissolved air floatation tank (Step 3). Steps 1, 2, and 3

566 removed 44%, 30%, and 25% of the total benzotriazole respectively for an overall removal

567 of 99.7%. The respective removal efficiencies of each step considering the concentration of

568 the contaminant entering each step was 44%, 53%, and 95.6% respectively, so more than

569 half of the amount of the chemical that entered Step 2 was removed.

570 Finally, PO aeration may also be beneficial regarding the removal of endocrine disruptive

571 compounds from wastewater. For example, bisphenol-A, the endocrine disrupting chemical

24

572 of the greatest concern, due to its effects being more detrimental than those of other

573 substances of the same kind, cannot be removed by CAS. In addition, its removal does not

574 necessarily guarantee its complete degradation, as, due to its hydrophobic nature, it is also

575 be stored in the sludge or, where possible, it can be adsorbed by membranes, (Doviral-

576 García et al., 2014). Dorival-García et al. (2014) assessed then the fate of bisphenol-A by

577 employing MBR technology to prolong SRT, and they found that bisphenol-A reached the

578 background level in the effluent in five days when PO was used, whereas it took 10 days in

579 the case of air. By switching from air to PO, they also improved the biodegradation

580 percentages from 60.2% to 87.4% in 10 days. With air, bisphenol-A that was sorbed in the

581 sludge, remained within it, so its availability for biodegradation was reduced and the need

582 for further sludge treatment before sludge disposal in order to remove the sorbed

583 contaminant increased. On the other hand, with PO, the sorption tendency diminished and

584 desorption was facilitated — 67.4% of bisphenol-A in the sludge underwent desorption and

585 consequently biodegradation.

586

587 **4.3. Removal of Volatile Organic Compounds**

588

589 PO aeration is also highly capable of handling foul condensates without stripping or of

590 biodegrading VOCs. To this end, Freitas dos Santos and Livingston, (1993a,b) proposed a

591 gas enclosed recirculation system based on a bioreactor fed with PO, whose design was

592 similar to that of a an air lift bioreactor, for the aerobic degradation of the 1,2-

593 dichloroethane in 1,2-dichoroethane contaminated wastewater. Air stripping of 1.2-

594 dichloroethane that usually takes places during its aerobic treatment was avoided — VOC

595 emissions are known to cause immediate toxicity and odor and promote undesired chemical

596 reactions. In their conventional air lift bioreactor, 33% of the 1,2-dichloroethane was lost

597 due to stripping, whereas in the system where PO was used, the whole of 1.2-

598 dichloroethane was mineralized.

599 In addition, Paice et al. (2003) mentioned that one of the selling points of POAS systems

600 for kraft pulp mills was their ability to treat their foul condensates without any stripping,

601 even from the start-up. However, these systems were liable to some problems, which were

602 mainly directly related to their enclosed design. As such, the potential for high

603 concentrations of dissolved CO_2 in the effluent, the potential for combustible gas alarms

604 caused by VOCs in the condensates and possible premature corrosion of the concrete,

605 which was associated with the bacteria that reduce sulfuric compounds, had to be carefully

606 considered. These problems can also be additionally intensified, as this design further

607 complicates the inspection and maintenance of the systems, (Paice et al., 2003).

608

609 **4.4. Effect of Pure Oxygen on Heavy Metals**

610

611 Heavy metals are non-biodegradable toxic substances that interact with the biomass and

612 have various inhibitory or toxic effects on bacteria, with nitrifying autotrophs being more

613 sensitive to them than heterotrophs, (Avezzù et al., 1995). Avezzù et al. (1995) assessed the

614 fate of heavy metals during treatment of leachates by monitoring their distribution in the

615 solid or liquid phase of the biological process and showed that, despite their accumulation

616 in the biomass, BOD_5 and COD removal efficiencies remained quite high. As such, PO

617 aeration created a quite favorable living environment for the microorganisms, which, by

618 making microorganisms more resistant to compounds like heavy metals, managed to

619 maintain considerable removal of organic carbon, (Avezzù et al., 1992).

5. PURE OXYGEN AND NITRIFICATION

5.1. Introduction

Ammonia that is not removed during WWT can cause a number of adverse environmental impacts, when effluent is discharged into the receiving water bodies. These include eutrophication, DO depletion and toxicity to aquatic organisms. Removal of nitrogen occurs during nitrification and denitrification. Aerobic autotrophic nitrification is an oxygen demanding process comprising two phases: i) ammonium is oxidized to nitrite, usually by Nitrosomonas, and ii) nitrite is oxidized to nitrate by Nitrobacter. During denitrification, nitrite and nitrate are reduced to nitrogen gas, (Neerackal et al., 2016; Rodríguez *et al.*, 2012c). High DO levels reduce the competitive stress upon the autotrophic nitrifying bacteria, with a constant DO concentration of 4 mg L^{-1} being high enough to achieve nitrification, (Moerman *et al.*, 1995). Nitrification is a complex process where PO aeration is evaluated together with other parameters like pH and temperature. Nevertheless, DO concentration is still used to control nitrification, particularly in the cases of high ammonia loading rates or low temperatures, (Bonomo *et al.*, 2000). DO concentration also controls nitrification phases as concentrations <0.5 mg L^{-1} ensure stable inhibition of nitrite oxidizing bacteria, (Canziani *et al.*, 2006).

5.2. The effect of pH

POAS is more vulnerable to low pH inhibition of nitrification than CAS because of their closed headspace design, whose main role is to minimize oxygen losses by recycling

27

644 headspace gas. Because of the aerobic treatment, the headspaces can contain elevated

645 amounts of CO_2, which under slightly increased pressure moves into the mixed liquor

646 reducing the pH, whose degree of reduction depends on parameters like the system's buffer

647 capacity or the degree of venting. This decrease affects the kinetics of enzyme reactions,

648 the bacterial species predominance and the physical properties of the organisms and

649 particles. When nitrification has to take place, pH reduction additionally inhibits it, unless

650 acclimation has already taken place. As nitrification proceeds, alkalinity is consumed,

651 which further reduces the pH — entrapped CO_2 does not reduce alkalinity itself but it does

652 reduce the pH at a given alkalinity. Many POAS systems were originally designed only for

653 removal of organic carbon, therefore to operate at low SRTs and HRTs ranging from 1.5 h

654 to 2.5 h. In practice, nitrification could proceed at lower pH values in covered POAS

655 systems, however, this requires contact times up to 3.5 h-5 h, which are rather longer than

656 those usually applied to handle carbon. To resolve this, another process step may then have

657 to be added, (Garber, 1977; Dirk, 1981; Shelef and Green, 1982; Sear et al., 2003). In

658 addition, to reinstate nitrification that had been hindered by a pH of 6.5, Mauret et al.

659 (2001) either alternated aeration with air and PO or combined the two. Nitrification that was

660 non-existent at pH values <6 could also have been held if acclimation had preceded, e.g.

661 Sears et al. (1995) found that nitrification in POAS was stable at pH values between 5 and

662 5.5 provided that the required acclimation period had been applied.

663 As combined carbonaceous and nitrogenous removal in POAS systems that are designed to

664 remove only organic carbon is difficult, particularly in enclosed ones, Bonomo et al. (2000)

665 proposed as an alternative the use of MBBRs aerated with PO for tertiary nitrification of

666 the secondary effluent. The extra treatment step eliminated any competition between

667 heterotrophic and autotrophic bacteria and PO aeration increased nitrification without

668 requiring a certain increase in the thickness of the biofilm. They then managed to acquire

669 high nitrification rates both in ammonia and in oxygen limiting conditions. Maximum

670 efficiencies were seen at lower ammonia loading rates. Efficiencies higher than 90% were

671 also achieved at ammonia loading rates higher than 4 g_N m^{-3} d^{-1} provided that the DO

672 concentration was higher than 10 mg L^{-1}-15 mg L^{-1}, clearly stating the positive contribution

673 of the DO use. Finally, alteration of PO use with air use, and vice versa, depending on the

674 pH value, may be able to simultaneously treat carbon and ammonia in one basin and this

675 seems to be technically feasible, (Mauret et al., 2001).

676

677 **5.3. The Effect of Temperature**

678

679 The effect of temperature on nitrification is complicated, as low temperatures reduce

680 nitrification but increase oxygen's solubility. During nitrification in a POAS system at $12°C$,

681 Sears et al. (1995) proved that the HRT did not have any effect on the specific nitrification

682 rates but the temperature controlled the process instead. Increasing the temperature at $24°C$,

683 an HRT of 4 h functioned better than that of 2.5 h. By adding an anoxic reactor, the pH

684 increased as the alkalinity managed to recover due to denitrification — i.e. 3.57 mg_{CaCO3}

685 was produced per mg_{NO3-} that was reduced. The anoxic tank itself did benefit nitrification,

686 however, even without it nitrogen loss happens in aerobic systems to some extent — anoxic

687 conditions can occur inside the flocs or due to some bacterial species that can perform

688 denitrification under aerobic conditions, Sears et al., 2003, 1995). Indeed, Neerackal et al.

689 (2016), by using Alcaligenes faecalis strain No. 4, which have the ability to turn

690 ammonium in wastewater to nitrogen in one single aerobic process, showed that within a

691 batch operation of 24 h, total ammonium removal from their dairy wastewater was about

692   100% when the reactor's headspace was flushed with PO and 42% when it was flushed

693   with air.

694   Salvetti et al. (2006) also operated MBBRs fed with PO to monitor the combined effect of

695   the temperature and the type of aeration on nitrification. PO diffuses more deeply into the

696   biofilm than air, so it produces higher nitrification rates, hence, requiring smaller reactor

697   volumes, (Salvetti et al. 2006). At low ammonium concentrations, Salvetti et al. (2006)

698   found PO was not essential as air could provide the required DO and the temperature did

699   not have any significant effect on the nitrification rates. As ammonium concentration

700   increased, DO became the reaction limiting substrate (this occurs even at DO

701   concentrations as high as 5 mg L^{-1} or 10 mg L^{-1}), so PO use was preferred. These findings

702   are also in line with the findings of Bonomo et al., (2000) mentioned in Section 5.2. Under

703   oxygen limiting conditions, the specific biomass activity, as the ratio of nitrification rate

704   to biomass content on the support media, was higher between 23°C-28°C than between

705   18°C-22°C. By removing the effect of DO on biomass, whose solubility also decreases as

706   temperature increases, that was further attributed to the higher temperature that favors

707   nitrification and to the reduced resistance to diffusion, which allows more biomass to have

708   access to DO.

709

710   **5.4. The Effect of Operational Times**

711

712   The role of SRT is important for POAS, as longer SRTs favor nitrification, (Sears et al.,

713   2003). As nitrifiers grow slowly, their growth is strongly related to the applied SRT. Due to

714   the high treatment rates that are achieved thanks to their high OTRs, POAS plants are

715   usually operated at short sludge ages ranging from 1 day to 3 days, which are not long

716 enough for nitrification, (Neethling et al., 1998). To overcome this, Neethling et al. (1998)

717 transferred aged waste activated sludge from a CAS plant to a POAS plant to seed it with

718 nitrifiers. This increased the "apparent" sludge age and the high loaded POAS plant

719 achieved nitrification. After the seeding process, they found that ammonium concentration

720 in the effluent decreased to 5 mg L^{-1} within 3 days stabilizing to values below 0.5 mg L^{-1}

721 after about a month. Similarly, Randall and Cokgor (2001) applied lower flows and

722 continuous seeding to maintain nitrification to a full scale POAS system.

723 In addition, Rodriguez et al. (2012c) studied the effect of HRT on nitrification under either

724 PO or air conditions by employing MBR technology for the treatment of primary clarifier

725 effluent. They showed that, by using PO instead of air, nitrogen removal efficiency was

726 improved by 8% at an HRT of 12 h and by 13.5% at 18 h. Kinetics, as per the Monod's

727 model and with ammonium being the substrate, also supported that result. At similar

728 temperatures and MLSS concentrations, the K value, or the half-saturation constant for the

729 PO increased by 190.4% at 18 h and by 324.1% at 12 h. However, this research did not

730 analyze the complex relationship between the K value, the MLSS concentrations and the

731 applied HRT. When air was used, the k_H value, or the hydrolysis constant, decreased and

732 the k_d value, or the decay constant, increased, which additionally stated the advantage of

733 the PO use, which was further strengthened at longer HRTs. In addition, by employing

734 oxidation of anaerobically treated excess sludge with PO, Zupančič and Roš (2008) showed

735 that at an HRT of 5 days only 38% of ammonium was converted. This increased to <70% at

736 HRTs of 6 days or 7 days, to 85.1% at 8 days and finally 85.6% at 10 days indicating that

737 no further improvement was possible. As such, the nitrification bacteria needed longer

738 contact times to process large loadings of ammonium.

739

6. PURE OXYGEN AERATION AND MEMBRANE FOULING IN PURE OXYGEN MEMBRANE BIOREACTORS

As membrane fouling continues being an important research field in membrane bioreactors, in this section, we mainly concentrate on the potential effect of PO aeration on its mitigation during WWT. Despite the fact that the performance of MBRs operating at low/medium COD loading rates, e.g. <1 kg m^{-3} d^{-1}, has been widely studied, operation at COD loading rates >2 kg m^{-3} d^{-1} is not common. This is because of the incapability of maintaining a healthy aerobic environment, due to limited oxygen transfer efficiency, (Lee and Kim, 3003). As such, PO aeration suits well MBRs where high MLSS concentrations have to be maintained and high organic loadings have to be treated, so OURs as high as 50 mg$_{O2}$ L^{-1} h^{-1} - 150 mg$_{O2}$ L^{-1} h^{-1} are needed, (Larrea et al., 2014).

Although MBRs have many advantages over CAS, membrane fouling is still a problem that increases running cost and potentially reduces treated water volumes and quality, (Zhuang et al, 2016b). Membrane fouling in MBRs is the systematic accumulation of suspended solids, colloids, and macromolecules on the membrane surface, or inside the pores, causing a reduction in membrane permeability. It is a complex phenomenon that is affected by the membrane itself, the wastewater under treatment, etc. with viscosity of wastewater, EPS/SMP production, size distribution of sludge flocs and the presence of colloids contributing to this. An accurate indicator of the degree of membrane fouling is the transmembrane pressure (TMP), (Zhuang et al., 20016b; Lee and Kim, 2003).

With respect to the aeration type, Zhuang et al. (2016b) showed that the degree of membrane fouling was 33% less (on day 90) when an MBR was fed with PO, instead of air. Even though it had been expected that the higher air flowrates and the larger bubble size

764   would have improved scouring and led to less fouling, it was finally proved that the PO fine

765   bubbles controlled EPS production and the biomass particle size distribution better

766   mitigating membrane fouling. Despite the fact that EPS amounts increased under both

767   aeration types, their amount was lower when PO was used. High shear forces and high

768   aeration intensities when air was used released more EPS deteriorating membrane fouling.

769   Shear forces affect floc size, strength and compactness affecting sludge filterability. When

770   PO was used, the flocs were constantly exposed to low shear forces, so they grew into loose

771   weak ones. On the other hand, when air was used, the high shear forces broke flocs to

772   fragments promoting membrane fouling, (Zhuang et al., 2016b).

773   Lee and Kim (2003) also operated an MBR fed with PO at 2 $kg_{COD}\,m^{-3}\,d^{-1}$ and the critical

774   TMP (50 kPa) was reached in 50 days. By increasing the OLR to 4 $kg_{COD}\,m^{-3}\,d^{-1}$, it took 20

775   days, to 8 $kg_{COD}\,m^{-3}\,d^{-1}$ 10 days and finally to 10 $kg_{COD}\,m^{-3}\,d^{-1}$ less than 10 days. The

776   membrane fouling rates demonstrated a trend twice as high as the organic loadings,

777   however, after applying 8 $kg_{COD}\,m^{-3}\,d^{-1}$, the slope of membrane fouling rate decreased. As

778   the organic loading increases, biomass also increases, so the F/M ratio is affected. The F/M

779   ratio has additionally an effect on membrane fouling, but potential differences in MLSS

780   concentrations and membrane fluxes at different F/M ratios have a complex effect, which is

781   difficult to determine. For MLSS concentrations up to 10000 mg L^{-1}, Kim et al. (2003)

782   found that the increase in TMP was smooth. However, it dramatically changed when the

783   MLSS concentration exceeded 10000 mg L^{-1}, as the fouling rate was almost four times

784   higher — it increased from 1.3 to 5.6. This became ten times higher, when the MLSS

785   concentration exceeded 15000 mg L^{-1}, as it increased from 1.3 to 12.5.

786   MLSS concentrations directly affect viscosity. High viscosity suspensions require high

787   cross flow velocities to create turbulence. If turbulence provided by aeration is not enough

788   to scour solids off the membrane, membrane becomes fouled faster. An optimal MLSS

789   concentration in relation with F/M, viscosity and structure/size of flocs seems to exist. In

790   reality, membrane fouling is more affected by an increase in MLSS concentration than an

791   increase in the organic loading. However, it is difficult to evaluate membrane fouling by

792   disconnecting the two, as each time the organic loading increases, the biomass

793   concentration increases as well. Therefore, it depends on whether membrane fouling is

794   assessed from an organic loading or a biomass point of view. However, membrane fouling

795   does not start building up soon after an increase in the organic loading but it takes some

796   time due to biomass also needing time to increase and produce EPS at a sufficient amount.

797   However, it must be pointed that the opposite can also be found in the literature, namely

798   MLSS concentrations have no effect or even have a positive one on TMP. This can indeed

799   happen, as the effect of MLSS concentrations on the filtration resistances is case-specific,

800   (Lee and Kim, 2003).

801   Finally, Rodriguez et al. (2012a) quantified the influence of PO or air on the recovery of

802   permeability of the membrane, namely the fraction of the difference of permeability, which

803   is the quotient of flux over TMP, after cleaning minus the permeability before cleaning

804   over permeability after cleaning. As such, a physical cleaning based on backflush of

805   permeate and a chemical one, which becomes necessary when irreversible fouling needs to

806   be removed, were tested. The mean recovery was found to be higher when PO was used

807   regardless of the type of cleaning — 2% and 15% further improvement for the physical and

808   the chemical cleaning respectively, which is also in line with the fact that physical

809   cleanings are weaker than the chemical ones.

810

811

7. DISCUSSION AND FUTURE RESEARCH DIRECTIONS

PO aeration in aerobic WWT treatment, leads to higher OTEs at lower flowrates. It allows faster treatment under higher MLSS concentrations and shorter HRTs. In fact, it can promote treatment in cases where conventional aeration fails. EPS production was found to be affected by the type of aeration. Under substrate utilization conditions, PO leads to the production of more EPS, whereas under endogenous respiration, decomposition of produced EPS is promoted. The latter additionally supports the findings that MBRs fed with PO end up with less EPS than MBRs fed with air, as MBRs operate at longer SRTs to reduce sludge production. However, the less EPS in MBRs fed with PO are also due to the lower PO flowrates, compared with equivalent air flowrates in MBRs fed with air, as the lower PO flowrates reduce shear forces and flocs do not break. PO aeration under substrate utilization conditions additionally improves aerobic sludge granulation, as PO increases EPS production so that granules difficult to disintegrate are created. SMP production under high F/M ratios is also higher when PO is used, as higher amounts of utilization associated SMP are produced. On the other hand, the production of much larger MW biomass associated SMP, which mainly comprise the effluent soluble organic matter, at low/medium F/Ms, explains the higher refractory character of effluents, when PO is used. Enzymatic activity is accelerated during PO aeration. However, not all enzymes are benefited by the use of PO, important hydrolytic enzymes remain unaffected by the aeration type. In addition, the type of aeration does not significantly affect the bacterial diversity as well, however, it affects the relative abundance of the dominant bacteria. Finally, PO aeration also satisfactorily controls foam formation in aeration tanks, due to the lower flowrates needing to be applied.

836 Regarding removal of contaminants and treated water quality, PO aeration was proven

837 quite efficient. However, after comparing performances of CAS and POAS systems with

838 respect to carbonaceous matter removal, it was found that the type of aeration was not so

839 critical as the HRT or the SRT. In addition, at low/medium F/M ratios, where both

840 activated sludge systems can be equally applicable, no significant differences in terms of

841 organic carbon removal are reported. The advantage of the use of PO though is that

842 operation of POAS plants can be extended to higher F/M ratios where CAS plants are not

843 usually designed to operate. Even though there is some research, which claims that, under

844 similar operating conditions, PO aeration may lead to improved removal of organic carbon,

845 this research is currently restricted to batch applications, e.g. SBRs, at small scale, where

846 any improved removal efficiency can be attributed to the fact that PO acts faster than air.

847 However, PO use may be more promising with respect to the removal of refractory

848 pollutants and micropollutants. Under PO aeration, improved biological performance has

849 been monitored with respect to removal of phenolic micropollutants, antibiotics, endocrine

850 disrupting compounds, etc. Finally, organic matter removal in POAS plants remains

851 unaffected by the presence of toxic heavy metals in the wastewater.

852 Regarding nitrification, it mainly depends on parameters other than the type of aeration,

853 however, under conditions that undisputedly do not inhibit nitrification, higher DO

854 concentrations due to PO, improved the removal of ammonium from wastewaters.

855 Nonetheless, POAS systems are designed to operate at short SRTs and remove only organic

856 carbon, as the short SRTs hinder nitrification. This can be overcome by continually seeding

857 the aeration tanks with aged sludge. In addition, under conditions where PO alternation

858 with air, or vice versa, is possible, simultaneous removal of carbon and ammonia in one

859 basin may also possible. If an extra treatment step for successful nitrification cannot be

860   avoided, MBBRs fed with PO have been suggested for tertiary nitrification of secondary

861   effluent. In any other event, MBRs, which, by definition, operate at longer SRTs, have to

862   be considered, with MBRs fed with PO achieving better nitrification rates than those fed

863   with air.

864   Regarding MBRs fed with PO, they may be proven to be quite useful in cases where high

865   organic loadings are combined with high MLSS concentrations. MBRs fed with PO remove

866   satisfactorily both carbonaceous and nitrogenous matter as well as micropollutants. In

867   addition, the use of PO contributes to membrane fouling mitigation. In MBRs fed with PO,

868   the lower flowrates that have to be applied control better any increase in TMP values, as the

869   lower shear forces do not break flocs, so less EPS are produced. However, as organic

870   loadings increase, MBRs fed with PO can become more vulnerable to membrane fouling.

871   Finally, better recovery of permeability is achieved in MBRs fed with PO than in MBRs fed

872   with air after application of a cleaning, with chemical cleanings having always a better

873   performance than physical cleanings regardless the type of aeration.

874   Even though direct comparison of the two aeration types is not an easy task, there are cases

875   where the use of PO does have a significant advantage over air, e.g. when high strength

876   wastewaters have to be treated. In these cases, PO use is unavoidably recommended.

877   However, the field still needs investigation, as the available literature is currently

878   dominated by the use of air. Based on what most specifically has been found in this work,

879   future research should concentrate on whether or not POAS can remove contaminants that

880   usually are not removed by CAS and on analyzing further the effect of PO on the enzyme

881   activity as all enzymes are not equally affected by the type of aeration. Finally, the potential

882   for operation of MBRs fed with PO at higher organic loadings and higher MLSS

883   concentrations has to be further determined.

8. CONCLUSION

PO achieves faster treatment rates at higher biomass concentrations and shorter HRTs. It better controls EPS/SMP production, accelerates enzyme activity, produces less sludge and minimizes foam. In MBRs fed with PO, it also better controls membrane fouling and improves recovery of permeability after cleanings. PO is recommended when high strength wastewaters are treated. However, PO use has also been connected with some problems, such as the pH drop in the mixed liquor in the closed headspace POAS systems. Finally, PO may also produce final effluents of a higher refractory character. It is recommended that PO be considered where air fails.

LITERATURE

1. Avezzù, F., Bissolotti, G., Collivignarelli, C., Volpi, A.G., 1992. Post treatment of leachate from anaerobic digestion of MSW in landfill by a multistage oxygen activated sludge process, Proc. Int. Symp. Anaerob. Digestion Solid Waste, 495-498

2. Avezzù, F., Bissolotti, G., Collivignarelli, C., Volpi, A.G., 1995. Behavior of heavy metals in activated sludge biological treatment of landfill leachate, Waste Manage. Res., 13, 103-121

3. Bae, W., Won, H., Hwang, B., Alves de Toledo, R., Chung, J., Kwon, K., Shim, H., 2015. Characterization of refractory matter in dyeing wastewater during full-scale Fenton process following pure-oxygen activated sludge treatment, J. Hazard. Mater., 287, 421-428

907   4. Batt, A.L., Kim, S., Aga, D.S., 2007. Comparison of the occurrence of antibiotics in four full-scale wastewater treatment plants with varying designs and operations, Chemosphere, 68, 428-435

910   5. Bébin, J., Renaudin, M., 1976. Dairy wastes purification by means of highly loaded activated sludge process with pure oxygen supply, Prog. Water Technol., 8, 301-311

912   6. Bernat, K., Kulikowska, D., Drzewicki, A., 2017. Microfauna community during pulp and paper wastewater treatment in a UNOX system, Eur. J. Protistol., 58, 143-151

914   7. Bonomo, L., Pastorelli, G., Quinto, E., Rinaldi, G., 2000. Tertiary nitrification in pure oxygen moving bed biofilm reactors, Water Sci. Technol., 41, 361-368

916   8. Brand, V.R., Crosby, L.D., Criddle C.S., 2019. Niche differentiation among three closely related competibacteriaceae clades at a full-scale activated sludge wastewater treatment plant and putative linkages to process performance, Appl. Environ. Microbiol., 85, e02301/1-18

920   9. Brindle, K., Stephenson, T., Semmens, M.J., 1998. Nitrification and oxygen utilization in a membrane aeration bioreactor, J. Membrane Sci., 144, 197-209

922   10. Calderón, K., González-Martínez, A., Montero-Puente, C., Reboleiro-Ribas, P., Poyatos, J.M., Juárez-Jiménez, B., Martínez-Toledo, M.V., Rodelas, B., 2012. Bacterial community structure and enzyme activities in a membrane bioreactor (MBR) using pure oxygen as an aeration source, Bioresour. Technol., 103, 87-94

926   11. Calderón, K., Reboleiro-Ribas, P., Rodríguez, F.A., Poyatos, J.M., González-López, J., Rodelas, B., 2013. Comparative analysis of the enzyme activities and the bacterial community structure based on the aeration source supplied to an MBR to treat urban wastewater, J. Environ. Manage., 128, 471-479

930   12. Canziani, R., Emondi, V., Garavaglia, M., Malpei, F., Pasinetti, E., Buttiglieri, G.,

931   2006. Effect of oxygen concentration on biological nitrification and microbial

932   kinetics in a cross-flow membrane bioreactor (MBR) and moving-bed biofilm reactor

933   (MBBR) treating old landfill leachate, J. Membrane Sci., 286, 202-212

934   13. Cohen, D.B., 1977. A comparison of pure oxygen and diffused air digestion of waste

935   activated sludge, Prog. Water Technol., 9, 691-701

936   14. Collivignarelli, M.C., Bertanza, G., Sordi, M., Pedrazzani, R., 2015. High-strength

937   wastewater treatment in a pure oxygen thermophilic process: 11-year operation and

938   monitoring of different plant configurations, Water Sci. Technol., 71, 588-596

939   15. Confer, D.R., Logan, B.E., Aiken, B.S., Kirchman, D.L., 1995. Measurement of

940   dissolved free and combined amino acids in unconcentrated wastewaters using high

941   performance liquid chromatography, Water Environ. Res., 67, 118-125

942   16. Dirk R.I., 1981. Kinetics of pure oxygen activated sludge - discussion, J. Environ.

943   Eng. Division, 107, 1331-1332

944   17. Dorival-García, N., Zafra-Gómez, A., Oliver-Rodríguez, B., Navalón, A., González-

945   López, J., Vílchez, J.L., 2014. Effect of the injection of pure oxygen into a membrane

946   bioreactor on the elimination of bishphenol A, Int. J. Environ. Sci. Technol., 11, 9-20

947   18. Esparza-Soto, M., Fox, P., Westerhoff, P., 2006a. Comparison of dissolved-organic-

948   carbon residuals from air- and pure-oxygen-activated-sludge sequencing-batch

949   reactors, Water Environ. Res., 78, 321-329

950   19. Esparza-Soto, M., Fox, P., Westerhoff, P., 2006b. Transformation of molecular

951   weight distributions of dissolved organic carbon and UV-absorbing compounds at

952   full-scale wastewater-treatment plants, Water Environ. Res., 78, 253-262

953   20. Freitas dos Santos, F.M., Livingston, A.G., 1993a. A novel bioreactor system for the
954   destruction of volatile organic compounds, Appl. Microbiol. Biotechnol., 40, 151-
955   157

956   21. Freitas dos Santos, L.M., Livingston, A.G., 1993b. Novel bioreactors for destruction
957   of volatile organic compounds, Trans. I.Chem.E. A, 71, 324-326

958   22. Garber, W.F., 1977. Pure oxygen activated sludge - some cons, Prog. Water Technol.,
959   8, 127-133

960   23. Germain, E., Nelles, F., Drews, A., Pearce, P., Kraume, M., Reid, E., Judd, S.J.,
961   Stephenson, T. 2007. Biomass effects on oxygen transfer in membrane bioreactors,
962   Water Res. 41, 1038-1044

963   24. Gostick, N.A., Wheatley, A.D., Bruce, B.M., Newton, P.E., 1992. Pure oxygen
964   activated sludge treatment of a vegetable processing wastewater, I.Chem.E.
965   Symposium Series, No 116, 69-84

966   25. Hu, Y.-Q., Wei, W., Gao, M., Zhou, Y., Wang, G.-X., Zhang, Y., 2019. Effect of pure
967   oxygen aeration on extracellular polymeric substances (EPS) of activated sludge
968   treating saline wastewater, Process Saf. Environ. Prot., 123, 344-350

969   26. Jolis, D., Ho, C.-F.H., Pitt, P.A., Jones, B.M., 2006. Mechanism of effective
970   nocardioform foam control measures for non-selector activated sludge systems,
971   Water Environ. Res.,78, 920-929

972   27. Jolis, D., Mitch, A.A., Marneri, M., Ho, C.-F., 2007. Effects of anaerobic selector
973   hydraulic retention time on biological foam control and enhanced biological
974   phosphorous removal in a pure-oxygen activated sludge system, Water Environ. Res.,
975   79, 472-478

976   28. Karibayashi, S., 1992. Experimental studies on advanced treatment of wastewater for

977   amenity use, Water Sci. Technol., 26, 2401-2404

978   29. Kundral, S., Mudragada, R., Coro, E., Moncholi, M., Mora, N., Laha, S., Tansel, B.,

979   2015. Improving settling characteristics of pure oxygen activated sludge by stripping

980   of carbon dioxide, Water Environ. Res., 87, 498-505

981   30. Larrea, A., Rambor, A., Fabiyi, M., 2014. Ten years of industrial and municipal

982   membrane bioreactor (MBR) systems - lessons from the field, Water Sci. Technol.,

983   70, 279-288

984   31. Lee, S., Basu, S., Tyler C.W., Pitt P.A., 2003. A survey of filamentous organisms at

985   the Deer Island treatment plant, Environ. Technol., 24, 855-865

986   32. Lee, S., Kim, M.-H., 2013. Fouling characteristics in pure oxygen MBR process

987   according to MLSS concentrations and COD loadings, J. Membrane Sci., 428, 323-

988   330

989   33. Levine, A.D., Meyer, M.T., Kish, G., 2006. Evaluation of the persistence of

990   micropollutants through pure-oxygen activated sludge nitrification and

991   denitrification, Water Environ. Res., 78, 2276-2284

992   34. Li, Y., Loh, K.-C., 2006. Continuous cometabolic transformation of 4-chlorophenol

993   in the presence of phenol in a hollow fiber membrane bioreactor, J. Environ. Eng.,

994   132, 309-314

995   35. Loiacono, J., Pitt, P., Jenkins, D., Cockburn, T., 1992. The influence of operating

996   experience on wastewater treatment plant design in San Francisco, California, USA,

997   Water Sci. Technol., 25, 257-264

998   36. Marshall, R., Sousley, R., 1997. Process troubleshooting and optimizing a pure

999   oxygen activated sludge treatment system, Environmental Conference and Exhibit,

1000   TAPPI Proc., 557-565

1001   37. Martín-Rilo, S., Coimbra, R.N., Escapa, C., Otero, M., 2018. Treatment of dairy

1002   wastewater by oxygen injection: occurrence and removal efficiency of a

1003   benzotriazole based anticorrosive, Water, 10

1004   38. Mauret, M., Ferrand, F., Boisdon, V., Spérandio, M., Paul, E., Process using DO and

1005   OPR signals for biological nitrification: validation of a food-processing industry

1006   wastewater treatment plant on boosting with pure oxygen, Water Sci. Technol., 44,

1007   163-170

1008   39. Mines, R.O. Jr., 1997. Design and modelling of post-nitrification single-sludge

1009   activates sludge processes, Water, Air, Soil Pollut., 100, 79-88

1010   40. Moerman, W.H., Bamelis, D.R., Van Holle, P.M., Vergote, H.L., Verstraete, W.H.,

1011   1995. Advanced purification of carbonization wastewater by activated sludge

1012   treatment, J. Environ. Sci. Health A, 30, 875-899

1013   41. Neerackal, G.M., Ndegwa, P.M., Joo, H.-S., Wang, X., Frear, C.S., Harrison, J.H.,

1014   Beutel, M.W., 2016. Potential application of alcaligenes faecalis strain no 4 in

1015   mitigating ammonia emissions from dairy wastewater, Bioresour. Technol., 206, 36-

1016   42

1017   42. Neethling, J.B., Spagni, C., Danzer, J., Wlley, B., 1998. Achieving nitrification in

1018   pure oxygen activated sludge by seeding, Water Sci. Technol., 37, 573-577

1019   43. Oakley R.H., 1977. Pure oxygen in activated sludge, Prog. Water Technol., 8 135-

1020   136

1021   44. Paice, M.G., Kovaks, T., Bergeron, J., O'Connor, B., 2003. Current status of the pure

1022   oxygen activated sludge process in Canadian mills, Tappi J., 2, 17-22

1023   45. Pan, F.H., Jiang, Y.B., Huang, K.X., Zhang, X.X., Huang, Y.J., Wang, X.Y., 2017.

1024   Potential causes of high performance of pure-oxygen aerobic granules in SBR under

1025   high organic loading condition, Global Nest J., 19, 687-696

1026   46. Peterson, G., Klein, J., Martinsen, D., 1978. A pure-oxygen-activated sludge system:

1027   operating experiences, Tappi, 61, 46-50

1028   47. Puhakka, J.A., Järvinen, K., 1992. Aerobic fluidized-bed treatment of polychlorinated

1029   phenolic wood preservative constituents, Water Res., 26, 765-770

1030   48. Randall, C.W., Cokgor, E.U., 2001. Modification and expansion of a pure oxygen

1031   WWTP for biological nutrient removal (BNR), Water Sci. Technol., 44, 167-172

1032   49. Rempel, W., Turk, O., Sikes, J.E.G., 1992. Side-by-side activated sludge pilot plant

1033   investigations focusing on organochlorines, J. Pulp Pap. Sci., 18, J77-J85

1034   50. Rodríguez, F.A., Martínez-Toledo, M.V., González-López, J., Hontoria, E., Poyatos

1035   J.M., 2010. Performance of bench-scale membrane bioreactor under real work

1036   conditions using pure oxygen: viscosity and oxygen transfer analysis, Bioprocess

1037   Biosys. Eng., 33, 885-892

1038   51. Rodríguez, F.A., Poyatos, J.M., Reboleiro-Rivas, P., Francisco, O., González-López,

1039   J., Hontoria, E., 2011. Kinetic study and oxygen transfer efficiency evaluation using

1040   respirometric methods in a submerged membrane bioreactor using pure oxygen to

1041   supply the aerobic conditions, Bioresour. Technol., 102, 6013-6018

1042   52. Rodríguez, F.A., Martín-Pascual, J., Garcia-Mesa, J.J., González-López, J., Hontoria,

1043   E., Poyatos, J.M., 2012a. Influence of the use of pure oxygen or air to supply aerobic

conditions in membrane bioreactor in the recovery of permeability of the membrane, Procedia Eng., 44, 1970-1973

53. Rodríguez, F.A., Reboleiro-Ribas, P., Osorio, F., Martínez-Toledo, M.V., Hontoria, E., Poyatos, J.M., 2012b. Influence of mixed liquid suspended solids and hydraulic retention time on oxygen transfer efficiency and viscosity in a submerged membrane bioreactor using pure oxygen to supply aerobic conditions, Biochem. Eng. J., 60, 135-141

54. Rodríguez, F.A., Reboleiro-Rivas, P., González-López, J., Hontoria, E., Poyatos, J.M., 2012c. Comparative study of the use of pure oxygen and air in the nitrification of a MBR system used for wastewater treatment, Bioresour. Technol., 121, 205-211

55. Rodriguez, G., Dorado, A.D., Bonsfills, A., Gabriel, D., Gamisans, X., 2013. Optimization of oxygen transfer through membrane diffusers for biological sweetening of biogas, Chem. Eng. Technol., 36, 513-518

56. Rodríguez, F.A., Leyva-Díaz, J.C., Reboleiro-Rivas, P., González-López, J., Hontoria, E., Poyatos, J.M., 2014. Influence of sludge retention time and temperature on the sludge removal in a submerged membrane bioreactor: comparative study between pure oxygen and air to supply aerobic conditions, J. Environ. Sci. Health A, 49, 243-251

57. Salvetti, R., Azzellino, A., Canziani, R., Bonomo, L., 2006. Effects of temperature on tertiary nitrification in moving-bed biofilm reactors, Water Res., 40, 2981-2993

58. Sears, K., Oleszkiewicz, J.A., Lagasse, P., 2003. Nitrification in pure oxygen activated sludge systems, J. Environ. Eng., 192, 130-135

59. Sears, K., Takach, T., Oleszkiewicz, J.A., 1995. Nitrification and pre-denitrification in a pure oxygen activated sludge system, Environ. Eng./Innovative Technologies for Site Remediation and Hazardous Waste Management, 285-292

60. Shelef, G., Green, M., 1980. Kinetics of pure oxygen activated sludge, J. Environ. Eng. Division, 106, 1099-1110

61. Shelef, G., Green, M., 1982. Kinetics of pure oxygen activated sludge - closure, J. Environ. Eng. Division, 108, 1081

62. Stenstrom, K.M., Rosso, D., 2010. Aeration (Presentation), University of California Los Angeles, University of California - Irvine, USA

63. Syron, E., Semmens, M.J., Casey, E., 2015. Performance analysis of a pilot-scale membrane aerated biofilm reactor for the treatment of landfill leachate, Chem. Eng. J., 273, 120-129

64. Torti, E., Sibilla, S., Raboni, M., 2013. An Eulerian-Lagrangian method for the simulation of the oxygen concentration dissolved by a two-phase turbulent jet system, Comput. Struct., 129, 207-217

65. Verstraete, W., 1980. Relationship between phosphate stress, effluent quality, and observed cell yield in a pure-oxygen activated sludge plant, Biotechnol. Bioeng., XXII, 2591-2606

66. Wei, J., Imai, T., Higuchi, T., Arfarita, N., Yamamoto, K., Sekine, M., Kanno, A., 2014. Effect of different carbon sources on the biological phosphorous removal by a sequencing batch reactor using pressurized pure oxygen, Biotechnol. Biotechnol. Equip., 28, 471-477

1088   67. Xu, R.-X., Li, B., Zhang, Y., Si, L., Zhang, X.-Q., Xie, B., 2016. Response of

1089   biodegradation characteristics of unacclimated activated sludge to moderate pressure

1090   in a batch reactor, Chemosphere, 148, 41-46

1091   68. Zhang, H.-L., Jiang, W.-L., Liu, R., Zhou, Y., Zhang Y., 2019. Organic degradation

1092   and extracellular products of pure oxygen aerated activated sludge under different

1093   F/M conditions, Bioresour. Technol., 279, 189-194

1094   69. Zhuang, H., Han, H., Shan, S., 2016a. Treatment of real coal gasification wastewater

1095   using a novel integrated system of anoxic hybrid two stage aerobic processes:

1096   performance and the role of pure oxygen microbubble, Environ. Sci. Pollut. Res., 23,

1097   11916-11926

1098   70. Zhuang, H., Hong, X., Han, H., Shan, S., 2016b. Effect of pure oxygen fine bubbles

1099   on the organic matter removal and bacterial community evolution treating coal

1100   gasification wastewater by membrane bioreactor, Bioresour. Technol., 221, 262-269

1101   71. Zupančič, G.D., Roš, M., 2008. Aerobic and two-stage anaerobic-aerobic sludge

1102   digestion with pure oxygen and air aeration, Bioresour. Technol., 99, 100-109

1103

1104

1105

1106

1107

1108

1109

1110

1111

1112   **TABLE 1:** Research studies based on PO aeration

Case Study	Type of Wastewater	Scale
Bébin and Renaudin, 1976	Dairy Industry Waste Effluent	Pilot
Calderón et al., 2012	Primary Effluent	Pilot
Canziani et al., 2006	Leachate	Pilot
Collivignarelli et al., 2015	Industrial Wastewater	Full
Dorival-García et al., 2014	Domestic Wastewater	Pilot
Esparza-Soto et al., 2006	Primary Effluent	Bench
Hu et al., 2019	Hypersaline Wastewater	Bench
Lee and Kim. 2003	Synthetic Wastewater	Bench
Lee et al. 2003	Municipal + Industrial Wastewater	Pilot
Mauret et al. 2001	Food Processing Wastewater	Full
Moerman et al. 1995	Pretreated Carbonization Wastewater	Full
Neethling et al., 1998	Municipal Wastewater	Full
Pan et al., 2017	High-Loading Wastewater	Bench
Peterson et al., 1978	Mill Effluent	Full
Rempel et al., 1992	Bleached Kraft Pulp Mill Effluent	Pilot
Rodríguez et al. 2010	Primary Effluent	Bench
Sears et al., 2003	Primary Effluent	Bench
Verstraete, 1980	Petrochemical Water	Full
Zhang et al., 2019	Synthetic Wastewater	Bench
Zhuang et al. 2016a,b	Coal Gasification Wastewater	Bench
Zupančič and Roš, 2008	Excess Sludge	Bench

1113

1114

1115

1116

1117

1118

TABLE 2: Industrial WWT POAS applications

Reference	Application	Flowrate or Capacity
Batt et al., 2007	Lackawanna WWT Plant, Erie County, New York, USA	17 034 $m^3\,d^{-1}$
Brand et al., 2019	WWT Plant near Stanford, Northern California, USA	240 000 $m^3\,d^{-1}$
Collivignarelli et al., 2015	WWT Plant, Northern Italy, Italy	280 $m^3\,d^{-1}$ (Average)
Confer et al., 1995; Esparza-Soto et al., 2006a	Ina Road Water Pollution Control Facility, Tucson, Arizona, USA	N/A
Gostick et al., 1992	Christian Salvesen (Food Services) Ltd Bourne, Lincolnshire, UK	3303 m^3 (Basin Volume)
Jolis et al., 2006	Oceanside Water Pollution Control Plant, County and City of San Francisco, California, USA	0.7 $m^3\,s^{-1}$ (Average DWF) 2.8 $m^3\,s^{-1}$ (Average WWF)
Karibayashi, 1992	Todoroki Sewerage Works, Kawasaki, Japan	395 500 $m^3\,d^{-1}$
Kundral et al., 2015	South District WWT Plant, Miami-Dade County, Florida, USA	4.9 $m^3\,s^{-1}$
Loiacono et al., 1992	Southeast Water Pollution Control Plant (SEP), San Francisco, USA	3.5 $m^3\,s^{-1}$
Marshal and Sousley, 1997	Simpson Tacoma Kraft Effluent Treatment Facility	1.5 $m^3\,s^{-1}$ (Design Load) 1.7 $m^3\,s^{-1}$ (Max. Load)
Mauret et al., 2001	Food-Processing Industry WWT Plant (Slaughterhouse), France[a]	3500 PE
Mines, 1992	Main Street Wastewater Treatment Plant, Pensacola, Florida, USA	0.9 $m^3\,s^{-1}$
Moerman et al., 2008	N/A	80 $m^3\,h^{-1}$ (after 50% Dilution)
Neethling et al., 1998	Rock Creek WWT Plant, Portland, Oregon, USA	3500 m^3 (Basin Volume)
Peterson et al., 1978	Longview Fiber's Mill Treatment System, Longview, Washington, USA	2.6 $m^3\,d^{-1}$
Randal and Cokgor, 2001	HENRICO County, Virginia, Water Reclamation Facility, USA[b]	170 325 $m^3\,d^{-1}$
Sears et al., 1995	North End Wastewater Pollution Control Centre, Winnipeg, Canada	N/A
Verstraete, 1980	BP Chemicals Belgium Works WWT, Belgium	3000 $m^3\,d^{-1}$

1120 **Abbreviations:** DWF: Dry Weather Flow, N/A: Non-Applicable, PE: Population Equivalent, UK: United Kingdom,
1121 USA: United States of America, WWF: Wet Weather Flow. [a]Alternating Anoxic-Aerobic Process, [b] Biological Nutrient
1122 Removal System
1123
1124

1125

1126

1127

1128

TABLE 3: Pollutant removal efficiencies as indicated in a number of selected studies

Case Study	Treatment Method	Pollutant	Removal Efficiency: Air (%)	Removal Efficiency: PO (%)
Bae et al., 2015	Activated Sludge + Fenton Oxidation Unit	SCOD		66
		Color		73
Bonomo et al., 2000	MBBR	NH_4^+-N		>80
Collivignarelli et al., 2015	MBR + NF Unit	COD		90
		COD		90
Dorival-Garcia et al., 2014	MBR	TSS		97
		TN		90
Hu et al., 2019	Activated Sludge[a]	TOC	28.8% (Salinity: 0.5%)	78.1 (Salinity: 0.5%)
			13.3% (Salinity: 5%)	17.2 (Salinity: 5%)
Lee and Kim, 2003	Anoxic Reactor + MBR	COD		up to 99.7 (Synthetic)
				up to 97.5 (Leachate)
Pan et al., 2017	Activated Sludge	COD (Granules)	~80	91
		NH_4^+-N (Granules)	>80	80
		COD (Flocs)	>80	<80
		NH_4^+-N (Flocs)	~75	~80
Rempel et al., 1992	Activated Sludge	BOD_5	79 - 96	71 - 94
		COD	27 - 50	29 - 56
Rodriguez et al., 2010	MBR	COD		>90
		BOD_5		>90
Rodriguez et al., 2012c	MBR	NH_4^+-N	65.5±11.9 (HRT:18 h)	79.1±9.7 (HRT:18 h)
			59±20.1 (HRT: 12 h)	67±8.7 (HRT: 12 h)
Sears et al., 2003	Activated Sludge	NH_4^+-N		>90
Zhang et al., 2019	Activated Sludge[a]	TOC	35.9	87.3
Zhuang et al., 2016a	MBR	COD	52	90
		TPh	50	95
Zupančič and M. Roš, 2008	Aerobic Treatment of Sludge	COD	55 (18 d), 55 (23 d)	55 (18 d), 60 (23 d)
		VSS	60 (21 d)	60 (39 d)